

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81729
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF CARIES SECONDARY TO THE ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT IN PATIENTS VISITING A PRIVATE DENTAL HOSPITAL-A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- It is imperative to check the English grammar--References from 22-40 should be revised since, some of them are not pertinent to the manuscript. References need to be cited appropriately in the text.-The sample size calculation is not explained.-There is not statistical for the internal and external validation-Present inclusion and exclusion criteria in a more clear and concise manner is necessary-Which are the parameters to classified moderate-several affected?-Predilection is not a proper word to use in this case.- The manuscript presents interesting results. The writing style is very truncated, I suggest re-writing for fluency-Graphs are not adequate for Chi-square test, tables would be more appropriated.	Grammar is checked. References has been revised. Sample size calculation is explained. Statistical for internal and external validation is not there for my study. Exclusion and inclusion criteria is précised. Parameters are changed accordingly. Predilection word is removed. Fluency checked. Tables are added with corresponding graph.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend a review version to be submitted	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.