

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81725
Title of the Manuscript:	The pharmaceutical policy reforms in Greece during the economic crisis, 2010-2015
Type of the Article	Policy Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides important information about the pharmaceutical policy reforms in Greece during the economic crisis, 2010-2015. The information presented in this manuscript is very important in the context about new legislation starting from the first memorandum until the first quarter of 2014 and the new drug pricing policies, profit control regulations, and demand regulations. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript.</p> <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- the general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li><li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li><li>- <b>bibliographic references 13, 15 and 27 are not inserted in the text;</b></li><li>- the theoretical documentation of the study is fragile, I recommend including a larger number on studies on the subject (materials, reports, publications etc.) which offers a direct treatment of the subject to research;</li><li>- I recommend clearer wording and shortening of the Conclusions, as the current form contains information that should be included in the other chapters;</li><li>- subtitle 3.2 is missing;</li><li>- elimination of bibliographic references 38 and 39 from the chapter of Conclusions and their insertion in the other subchapters;</li><li>- the critical approach of specialized literature that will allow the analysis and interpretation of information, the development of a objective point of view and the establishment of clear objectives.</li></ul> <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p> <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	<p>We would like to thank the Reviewer for the useful comments that help us improve and strengthen our manuscript. We have now revised our manuscript following Reviewer's comments.</p> <p>We submit the revised manuscript highlighting all modifications which we have made, and our reply letter providing a point-by-point summary of how we have dealt with each of the reviewers' and editorial comments.</p> <p>We hope that you will find our responses and modifications to be appropriate. Thank you for your willingness to re-consider our revised manuscript.</p> <p>We remain at your disposal to provide any further correction/clarification in our manuscript.</p> <p>Thank you in advance.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues