

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81693
Title of the Manuscript:	CARIES RISK ASSESSMENT AND DETECTION OF STREPTOCOCCUS MUTANS COUNT IN PLAQUE AND SALIVA USING MUTANS-SANGUIS AGAR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>Tables and figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. Information presented in the figure should not be repeated in the table.</p> <p>Original research papers:</p> <p>Papers that include original empirical data that have not been published anywhere earlier (except as an abstract). Null/negative findings and replication/refutation findings are also welcome. This type of paper normally should not exceed 25 double-spaced pages of text (including references) and should not contain more than 15 figures/tables.</p> <p>The research is extensive, interesting, but the presentation of data must be optimized.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- References have been modified as per comments.- Repeated tables and graphs have been removed.- Figures have been removed as per comments.- Content has been reduced accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethics committee.