

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81576
Title of the Manuscript:	Transitional Cell Carcinoma with Distal Urethra: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This manuscript as general it is good and dealing with important topic which consider main topic in our life also consider a big problem which need high knowledge and good practice in dealing with it ,because of may lead to a big problems effects on urinary system also will effect quality of life of patients and their families	Dear reviewer, I have made changes as per your suggestions. Thank you.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript contain the basic standers of research method also the researcher was write the manuscript by scientific style	Dear reviewer, I have made changes as per your suggestions. Thank you.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1.time of study should be write 2.time of data collection should be mentioned 3-the sample size is small and need more patients 4- most of reference out date and need for update	Dear reviewer, I have made changes as per your suggestions. Thank you.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	