

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81570
Title of the Manuscript:	MENTAL HEALTH DISORDERS DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I would like to see the first paragraph of the mental health of health care workers, in page 5 referenced in literature.</p> <p>How about putting Stimulants and Depressants already as branches under Somatic Treatment, in the graphic at page 6.</p>	<p>Dear Editor, I made corrections as per your suggestions.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In ABSTRACT:</p> <p>Already in the initial summary, I noticed perhaps the lack of a word, “these ailments affect a person’s capability to judge a situation as well as his capability...” The capability of what? or was the intention to say "capability" in general?</p> <p>How about using the term perceptible instead of visible ?</p> <p>In the first lines of introduction there was a misspelling error: “acknowledge” and also “responce”</p> <p>Second paragraph of Introduction, another misspelling error: wellbeing</p> <p>Third paragraph of Introduction: is the use of words: “creating a better world” really necessary?</p> <p>In page 5, in the citation:“Therefore, patients should be a little humbler and cooperative with doctors.” I would like to see it referenced in literature.</p> <p>Are you sure “Humble” is a good word in this scenario?</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The theme is prevalent and highly insidious in society, not only in India but also in Brazil.</p> <p>The title clearly and sufficiently reflects the content of the manuscript, as well as the Abstract and the Introduction.</p> <p>The article provided adequate justification of why the matter is worthy of study and also further study.</p> <p>The discussion is well articulated.</p> <p>The conclusion expresses considerations about the theoretical or practical implications of the results and presents a contribution of the study to the advancement of scientific knowledge.</p> <p>There is a good theoretical framework and the references are pertinent, adequate for the purpose of the study.</p> <p>The perception of the increase in mental disorders even among mental health experts was excellent and totally relatable to COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	