

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81009
Title of the Manuscript:	IN SITU GEL DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM – A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is a review that addresses the controlled release system of in situ gel formation. I notice some deficiencies in relation to the manuscript. The introduction should be expanded and include the proposal of the article, which is intended to contribute to this review with the scientific community. With the data obtained, the authors could include tables or even figures. Authors could develop more topics, bringing more data, discussing with the inclusion of more articles. A review with only 27 articles is too short, the authors could expand the review further. Important to include more current references. As it is a current topic, they will probably have more recent references. Perhaps including a topic with the methodology used could facilitate the understanding of how the study was carried out, which databases were used, period of the review, keywords, inclusion and exclusion criteria.	Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>