

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80759
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of signs and symptoms at menarche with Pitta Pre-dominant Prakriti – an observational study
Type of the Article	Observational Study (Original article)

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I really enjoyed the authors' explanation of the different phases of the menstrual cycle. The definition of the sample used and the results found by the application of the form were well described. The discussion was direct and concise, however, it could even have been expanded, as the topic is interesting and allows for more considerations.</p> <p>In general, the work was straightforward in its objectives and findings.</p> <p>Some considerations/suggestions are:</p> <p>1. <b>Abstract:</b> could have specified the objective of the work in order to more directly reflect a synthesis of the general work.</p> <p>2. <b>Results:</b> they were described in the form of text, having only one image frame. I think that more images such as graphs or tables could help to visualize the results and percentages of the findings.</p> <p>3. <b>Discussion:</b> it could be more extensive in order to better explore the results found in the work.</p>	<p>Correction made</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The study uses girls aged mainly between 10 and 15 years old as a sample. It was not established in the study that these girls were authorized to participate in this research, mainly because they were underage children, who would need their guardians' approval to participate in such a study. If the laws of the study's place of origin allow this participation to take place, it could have been clarified in the article.</p> <p>In any case, the authors could specify whether this study was submitted and/or approved by a human research ethics committee.</p>	