

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80562
Title of the Manuscript:	Renal Cell Carcinoma Clear Cell Variant With Chromophobe Differentiation -A Rare Case Presentation
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The peculiarities of the case was not discussed. 2. what are the challenges in the management of this patient. 3. what are the characteristics of Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma that warrants this case presentation. (Note; this is not an uncommon tumour as the authors claimed, however if it is uncommon in their environment, it should be stated clearly with references). 4. Images should be properly labelled and described. Use pointers for areas of interest in images. 5. The discussion section was poor. Peculiarities and challenges in the index patient were not discussed. There was no robust discussion on the treatment offered to the patient. There was no literature to compare and contrast the foregoing issues. 6. The conclusion was poorly written.	Dear Editor, I made corrections as per your suggestions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Authors should acquaint themselves with the demands of case report. This case report was poorly written. It does not bridge any knowledge gap.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	