

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80536
Title of the Manuscript:	A Four Year Old with Multisystem Autoimmune Disorder: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, a Third World Prodigy
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I am very much satisfied with the article scientifically and by the presentation.	Thank you for your valuable feedback. A thorough conclusion has been incorporated into the report.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The possible cause not mentioned, is there any data relate the cause by drug induction (or) genetic (or) due to consumption of food (or) chemical exposure – kindly explore.</li><li>2. It is nice to mention the current medication undergoing by the patient – complete medication data.</li><li>3. It was mentioned the patient was responded to corticosteroid – is it complete recovery (or) what is the level of recovery?</li><li>4. Diagnosis and other relevant data may be presented in a tabular form would be more appealing.</li></ol>	All advised changes have been made.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	