

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80499
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge towards biochemical parameters of COVID – 19 amongst first professional year medicine students: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>On the title, where did this study conducted? Please specify the study setting i.e. the name of the university or college and country</p> <p>Under the method section..Again, you have to mention the study area, when did the research conducted that is the study period,.. who were the study and source population. How the researchers do collected the data, from where the data collection tools adapted.</p> <p>Do you reconsider those students involved in pre test? If not please mention it</p> <p>On your result part. The sociodemographic characteristics of the students should be presented.</p> <p>The authors employed descriptive statistics that is percentage to explain knowledge of the students. However, it would be better if they made inferential statistics between independent variables and Knowledge to say knowledgeable or not?</p>	<p>Noted and corrected</p> <p>Comment accepted and considered</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Better to use the word knowledge rather than acquaintance and there are a few phrases which require clarification in introduction and discussion section	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This is a fascinating study that aimed to look into a significant issue, particularly in biochemical parameters in COOVID 19. The study's background was well-written, with a clear problem statement. But the research was not conducted in a methodical manner. However, I am extremely concerned about the method section</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	