

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80456
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Properties of Terminalia Arjuna: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The review is essential to have a starting point to document the use and consequences of employing this plant. It is considered important and of scientific value, however, several drafting clarifications must be made, where it has to be specific, justify in a timely manner the illness or disease that contributes to healing and include its bibliographic reference citations. It is not an easy topic to address, since as the authors say in the final note, the information should be taken with caution, however, its publication is important.	Dear Editor, I made corrections as per your suggestions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Tables take up a lot of space and I suggest structuring the text to include your information as paragraphs. Avoid the use of ambiguous terms and prefer direct and specific wording. Even when there are many references, include some more recent ones that strengthen the information presented.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Check the punctuation marks in all the text, spaces and consistency in some lines. The references section is not homogeneous and should be compared with the standards of the journal to be consistent with what was requested for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	