

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80351
Title of the Manuscript:	Medico-Legal Cases Need a More Professional Approach
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper. The manuscript needs extensive structure and language editing for it to be made comprehensible to the reviewer. I have raised a number of points that I believe would improve the manuscript.</p> <p><u>ABSTRACT:</u> Conclusion: Be written according to the purpose of the study (list of preventive actions that can protect a physician from negligent prosecution.) Summary: Remove part of the abstract. Key Words: Review keywords based on MeSH.</p> <p><u>INTRODUCTION:</u> Abbreviations should be written in full for the first time.(MBBS physician). The structure of the introduction is not clear and needs to be fundamentally revised. It seems to have happened wrong. Corona virus is discussed in paragraph 6 of the introduction to paragraph 10!!</p> <p><u>DISCUSSION:</u> The structure of the discussion is not clear and needs to be fundamentally revised. The 1 to 4 paragraphs of this section are duplicates.</p> <p><u>CONCLUSION:</u> Conclusions do not match the purpose of the study.</p> <p><u>References:</u> References in the text of the article need to be corrected and revised.</p>	<p>Dear Editor, I made corrections as per your suggestion.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	