

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80351
Title of the Manuscript:	Medico-Legal Cases Need a More Professional Approach
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>TITLE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">I suggest – Medico-Legal Cases: The Need for a More Professional Approach <p>ABSTRACT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Background: <p>LINE 1: Should be – “Most of the times”</p> <p>LINE 3: Consider using -judge whose personal belief and a bias sometimes finds expression in the dispensation of justice.</p> <p>LINE 4: Should be – learn fromundermined. The prevention of medical and ;egal problems in the best way to ,,,</p> <p>LINE 6: Should be – being prosecuted for negligence NOT “negligent prosecution”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Summary: <p>LINE 2: Consider using – by MBBS Physicians, gynaecologists, dermatologists professionals who are</p> <p>LINE 5: Should be -due to shortage DELETE “a”</p> <p>LINE 6: who is “He”?</p> <p>LINE 8: Should be - She works with an</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Conclusion: <p>LINE 1: Consider using – one of theworld is the medical profession.</p> <p>LINE 3: Consider using -modern patient-doctor relationship has lost its place in the society, thus</p> <p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <p>LINES 1 – 2: Should be -by doctors, gynaecologists, dermatologists etc., untrained</p> <p>LINE 5: Should be -due to shortage of other doctors.</p> <p>LINES 5 – 8: Consider revising – “Specializedmedical colleges. [1]”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 2: <p>LINE 2: Should be – (and justice delayed is justice denied)</p> <p>Paragraph 3:</p> <p>LINE 6: Use – extent of negligence INSTEAD OF “amount of negligect”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 4: <p>LINE 1: Should be – The coronathere and the first casewas in the year 2020.</p> <p>LINE 2: DELETE the following words – “normally” AND “corona”</p> <p>LINE 3: DELETE the word – “it”</p> <p>LINE 5: Should be -against the virus. This CRP protein is</p> <p>LINE 5: Define CRP at first mention and abbreviate in subsequent use.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 5: <p>LINE 1: Consider using – It is observed that as age increases, the CRP</p> <p>LINES 1 – 2: Should be -increase. Normally, the men have raised CRP value.</p> <p>LINES 3 - 4: Consider using - ...in surviving The Whitney’s method is alsothe patients who died ...</p> <p>LINE 4: Consider using -infection have raised CRPtheir blood. We also found that ...</p> <p>LINES 6 – 12: Consider revising the entire lines highlighted</p> <p>Page 3:</p> <p>Consider revising/reconstructing the entire page</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Page 4: <p>LINE 1: Should be – the CRP has been observed up toin severe Corona patients.</p> <p>LINE 1: 10, 11, 12, 13 patients ...??</p> <p>LINES 2 - 3: Consider revising – “The patient diedbeautiful world [10]”</p>	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>I made corrections as per your suggestion.</p>

Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 1: LINE 2: Should be -related factors. The LINE 3: Should be – CPR LINE 6: Should be – C-reactive LINE 8: Should be – Elevated CRP Paragraph 2: LINE 3: Should be -to sue for negligence if<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 3: LINE 2: Consider using -courts, a High Court etc. to resolve ... DELETE the phrase “or a court” LINES 4 -8: Consider the following as a new paragraph – “Disciplinary actionfor assistance. [13]”. ALSO Is it a case study? Please revise same. <p>DISCUSSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 1: LINES 1 - 4 and 5 – 8 are repeated. Please Revise<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 2: LINE 3: Should be –by honesty, carefulness and objectivity of the forensic Page 5: LINES 2-3: Should be -the community, more teeth are needed<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 2: LINE 2: Should be -conditions in India NOT “in this country” Paragraph 3: LINES 1 – 5 and 6 – 11 are exactly similar. Please revise this repetition.<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 3: LINE 2: Write PIL in full at first mention and subsequently use abbreviation<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 5: LINE 2: Something is missing before “Doctors on the grounds” PLEASE REVISE. <p>CONCLUSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 1: LINE 1: Should be – Due to lack of Paragraph 2: LINES 2 - 3: Should be -fear of appearing in<ul style="list-style-type: none">Page 6: LINE 2: scene NOT “site” LINE 3: Should be - accessible. It will prevent LINE 6: Should be – educational LINE 6: “ed” ?<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paragraph 2: LINE 3 - 5: Consider revising the statement. <p>NB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Please list or outline the preventive actions or measures that can protect a physician from being prosecuted for negligence (Ref. to background).Stress on the physician’s duty of care, confidentiality, criminal negligence (Leaving objects in patient’s body after surgery, etc.), criminal trespass to person (touching the body of a patient without consent).	
--	--	--

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Manuscript portrays a great idea with very relevance to the entire world audience also with fair expression of content.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	