

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80345
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Retinal Arterial Infraction
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, the authors used Acute Retinal Arterial Infraction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- The title is written in general. But in the manuscript, diagnosis is mentioned more. So it's better to write a more specific title.</li><li>2- Written content is incoherent and relations between the content must be established.</li><li>3- Please have the English checked by a person competent in English language before resubmission.</li><li>4- The author has written this manuscript with reference to 21 articles, which is very little for a review article.</li></ul>	Thanks. Changes made as suggested
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- The format of references 1 to 15 does not match references 16 and later.</li><li>2- Some sentences are too long and incomprehensible. Please use clear and concise sentences.</li></ul>	Thanks. Changes made as suggested
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The items needed for correction are written in the manuscript as a comment.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>