

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80324
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTHROPOMETRIC EVALUATION OF VOLUME OF MAXILLARY SINUS AND NASAL SEPTUM PATTERNS AS AN AID IN PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION USING CBCT- A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please rewrite the method section as follow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Study design: Present key elements of study design early in the paper</li><li>b. Study size: Explain how the study size was arrived at</li><li>c. Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias</li></ul> <p>In results section, please report the mean age of participants. Please note the limitations of this study in detail in the discussion part Please added more new references.</p>	<p>Sir, I have mention study design earlier in the paper and regarding sample size in materials and method. There is no potential source of bias in our study. I have mentioned the mean age of participants in results section and limitations in discussion part along with new reference.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in our study.