

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80182
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement of Plasma Fibrinogen Levels among HIV infected patients: A critical Bio-Marker for Coagulation Dysfunctions
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Line 6 of abstract should be taken to materials and methods section that is where the full explanation of methodology is required.</div> <div>2. Line 12 of abstract were started with small letter, change it to capital letter</div> <div>3. Check line 14 of introduction on word "activation"</div> <div>4. Second to last line of introduction, use coagulation assay</div> <div>5. Your statement in line 4 of materials and methods is not clear</div> <div>6. Line 9 of materials and method should be clearly stated</div> <div>7. Line 12 of materials and method is not clear</div> <div>8. Values of your result used in discussion should be removed completely e.g 470.50 ±67.75 vs 214.75±21.25, P-value ≤0.001),</div> <div>9. Conclusion before limitations of study</div> <div>10. References should be in line with pattern/ example given by this journal. In this study different style were used.</div>	Done
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Use standard deviation instead of Stander division</div> <div>2. Line 16-19 of discussion is completely different from the work</div>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	