

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80092
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of The Effects of Lighting Conditions On The Shade Selection of Ceramics Using Visual Method
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Introduction: References regarding the choice of Grey Background</div> <div>2. Introduction: Objectives should state what is measured/checked and what variables are to be compared against the control.</div> <div>3. Method: More information is required on the method of sample size calculation.</div> <div>4. Method: The reason for the choice of statistical test is not mentioned.</div> <div>5. Method: The form of final data (Quantitative or Qualitative etc.) is not mentioned.</div>	Comment accepted and considered
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Method: Mention the type of study in details.</div> <div>2. Conclusion: The author is considering sunlight as the best option, hence used as reference for comparison. Therefore, Conclusion will give second best option after sunlight.</div>	Comment accepted and considered
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Suggestion: The author can use “Digital Shade Guide” for comparison of Shade Matching	Done