

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79963
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Tauopathies: Clinicopathological aspect
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.According to the journal’s requirements - Reference numbers within the text should be given in square brackets not in round ones.</p> <p>2. Please, revise all the references following the instructions given in the authors’ guideline of the journal.</p> <p>3. When a term with abbreviation occurs for the first time in the text it should be abbreviated and after that use only the abbreviation (throughout the whole text).</p> <p>4. The last sentence in conclusion is a bit unclear to me.</p> <p>5. In paragraph – Primary progressive speech aphasia – the paragraph end with... and prosodic form with slower speech production (Type 2). (Type 2). (Section 2). I can not relate that section 2 to anything else in the text. Please clarify that.</p> <p>6. In MAPT mutation with FTLT-Tau section the authors say - For a detailed evaluation of particular MAPT mutations, see here – could you be more specific please and clarify that.</p>	<p>Comment accepted and considered</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The manuscript guidelines advise abstract up to 300 words. In your case 303 (not sure if acceptable for the journal).</p> <p>2. Please, revise the punctuation, spelling and intervals/spacing.</p> <p>3. Please use “and” instead of the symbol “&”.</p>	<p>Comment accepted and considered</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>In terms of citation/reference, according to me every reference referred in the text should be given after the related information but not at the end of the whole paragraph.</p> <p>The revised manuscript is a review article on Taupathies: clinicopathological aspect. The topic is of a great scientific interest because taupathies are difficult to identify before death and therefore their treatment is also a challenge. The review is well-structured, focused on the pathological aspects and different conditions considered primary taupathies. The manuscript is written in good English. I recommend publishing this manuscript in your journal after revision.</p>	<p>Comment accepted and considered</p>

Comment [ACM1]: Could you specify what you mean with section 2?

Comment [ACM2]: What do you mean with “see here”?

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	