

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_79786 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Antimicrobial and biochemical properties of Linum Usitatissimum the flax seeds and Syzygium Aromaticum |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The authors are requested to adhere to the journal format in preparing abstract, materials and methods and references in text and under references in terms of punctuations2. Discussion has to be rewritten discussing the results obtained in the current study in a focused manner and avoid providing generalized and vague statements in the discussion3. The authors are requested to correct for the usage of English language throughout the text4. The authors have used DMSO in the process to prepare semi solid form of the extracts. DMSO itself has shown to possess antimicrobial activity. However they have not used DMSO in the agar diffusion test to rule out partial involvement of DMSO if any, in the formation of zone of inhibition.5. The authors are requested to derive at a logical conclusion by summing up the results and clearly state which extract of the two plant sources can be used as a potential future medicine in the future. <p>Requires major corrections before publication</p> | Comment accepted and considered |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | Shown in the manuscript | Okay |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Shown in the manuscript with references to adhering to journal format etc. | Okay |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |