

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79725
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation of active molecules from the stems of passion vine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The topic is timely and will be of interest to the readers of the journal. There are so many grammar mistakes and incomplete sentence. These poorly written manuscript should be revised again.	All the grammar mistakes have been rectified
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The conclusions that the authors make are erroneous and should include adequate information from result section.	The three constituents isolated from the stems of Passiflora foetida L are kaempferol, beta-sitosterol and apigenin, they belong to class of flavanol, phytosterol and flavone respectively. The components isolated have various pharmacological activities. Kaempferol has anticancer and anti-inflammatory properties, beta-sitosterol has cholesterol lowering properties and reduces the risk of certain cancers and apigenin also has anti cancer properties
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please add limitations of your manuscript.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	