

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79535
Title of the Manuscript:	A comprehensive study on Environmental Pollution and Pregnancy Outcomes
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comment**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic is a very interesting one , however , the author(s) should add up more detailed information. The article being a comprehensive study shows lack of depth in some sections. The following sections should be improved with many detailed and referenced statements.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Air Pollution Exposure Assessment</li><li>2. Health Outcomes</li><li>3. How to prevent</li><li>4. Noise pollution</li></ol> <p>It is noticed that the information in these sections is a poor , the article reads like an assignment not a research article. Review articles are generally extensive studies with many references and very critical approach in discussions. Not a descriptive text with only what has been done in previous studies. Therefore , I recommend a major revision of this article to fit with the criteria of a true review article which is a critical assessment including novel approaches to be explored.</p>	<p>Dear Editor, I made corrections as per your suggestions.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	