

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79473
Title of the Manuscript:	QT-PROLONGATION ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICATION COMBINATION IN HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS AT JOHNS HOPKINS ARAMCO HEALTHCARE (JHAH), KSA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract is too long. The authors should shorten it.- The authors need to add <i>keywords</i>- The authors should number 1, 2, 3, .. for the items: introduction, methods, results, ...- Introduction:<ul style="list-style-type: none">+The author should omit Causes of acquired QT prolongation, Risk factors, QT-prolongation could be prevented by. If not, authors should write a summary and include references.+ "Aim of the study" should be part of the introduction, not separate.+ Corrected QT-interval (QTc) value is calculated using the Bazett's formula:¹³ $QTc = QT \div \sqrt{RR}$→ Transfer to Methods- Methods: the authors should divide it into small sections: Participant Criteria, Clinical Trial Design and Setting, Statistical Analysis.- Results, Discussion:<ul style="list-style-type: none">+ The data in the text (highlighted in yellow) do not match the data in table1, Fig (1), (2), (5)+In the discussion, the author compares with documents 17, 19, 20. However, in the reference section, these documents are not present. Suggest the authors to add or edit accordingly	<p>Effected revision</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Results<ul style="list-style-type: none">+Fig (3): should convert seventy per cent to 70%+Fig (4): should convert Fifty-five per cent to 55%- Discussion<ul style="list-style-type: none">+ Ninety → 90; two hundred one → 201; ...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	