

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79470
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Otitis externa: An approach to aetiopathogenesis, epidemiology, clinical features and management protocol
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Can be seen as an useful review for those interested in the otitis externa topic (aetiology, pathogenesis, epidemiologym clical features and therapeutical management. It could be of interest for the Journal's public but only after revision	Dear reviewer, Thank you for review my paper I did corrections as per your suggestions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A. This manuscript is at the limit of a comprehensive review so I hardly recommend to develop two chapters were new and valuable research was done: 1 – the issue of resistance to antinfectious means and 2 – the zoonotic potential of otitis in animals B. The topic (especially) and grammar of this manuscript has to be reviewed by a native English professional C. Being a Review manuscript the structure of Bibliographical part is very poor. There are are numerous sources of information which has to be included in this manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	