

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79170
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect of punicalagin (Pomegranate Extract) on IL-1 beta and superoxide dismutase levels in patients with chronic periodontitis – A Randomized Controlled Trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In material and methods section the abbreviation MIC should be written complete Statistical analysis is separated header not under Results section In the discussion section ; the second paragraph, the results should not be written again as in results section instead the author mentions that there was a significant decrease in with p value.... And this result was consistent with	MIC has been replaced with Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) in materials section as suggested. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS header has been separately written as suggested by reviewer. The repetition of the result in the discussion section has been corrected as suggested by the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	Replace word site in subject and methods with group (patients group and control group) The sentence (in the present study) is repeated several times try to use different expressions	Since it is a split mouth study (ie both control site and test site are from same patients) I have mentioned as sites. The sentence Group I and Group II are consist of different sites from the same patients (Split mouth trial) has been added for clarity in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		I would like to thank the reviewer for his/her kind review of my manuscript. I am grateful for his/her review as it will improve the standard of my manuscript for publication in this well esteemed journal. This is my dissertation topic and this has been submitted as a repository in TN Dr.M.G.R medical university.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.	