

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79167
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Composition, Mineral analysis and Sensory Evaluation of Cake and Chocolate with Moringa oleifera Leaf Powder for Anaemic Adolescent's
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript need improve in the redaction and also the presentation of results and explanation of findings in the discussion. The conclusions should be concise without inclusion of data presented in the results. It is recommended use a unique reference system for presentation of list of references and is also recommended change the reference [Serrano, E.L.; Powell, A. Healthy Eating for Children Ages 2 to 5 Years Old: A Guide for Parents and Caregivers; Virginia State University: St. Petersburg, FL, USA, 2013] because is not appropriated reference for this manuscript. Additional observations are presented in the manuscript.	The results which are mentioned in the part are reduced and changed according to the reviewer comment
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In my criteria, the manuscript contains important information that could be reference for others researchers and also by the food industry but need to be improved to be published	The comment is included in the paper

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issues in the manuscript