

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79083
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodic Health Assessment Screening and Role of Family Physician
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A title is not clear and need modification</li><li>• Abstract part is not full (Contain introduction, objective, methods and conclusion)</li><li>• Objective is not SMART</li><li>• There are no materials and methods in this article.</li><li>• I did Not get any results and discussion</li><li>• The conclusion seems author/s suggestion/opinion and no finding or data based results.</li><li>• The authors must write conclusion based on objective and findings</li><li>• What is the implication of this article?</li></ul>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Reference number 12 is not used the manuscript</li><li>• Mixed use of reference format (e.g. MLA, APA, AMA ...) use journal guide line format.</li><li>• Some statement is long and has no references. Re write it</li></ul>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The article seems report rather than finding based results.</li><li>• This research article needs more improvement.</li></ul>	Comment accepted and considered

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	