

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78917
Title of the Manuscript:	MEAN LEVELS OF B-TYPE NATRIURETIC PEPTIDE (BNP) IN PATIENTS WITH MITRAL STENOSIS CAUSED BY RHEUMATIC HEART DISEASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	In age group more than 42yr which are the clinical and lab parameters included which gave evidence that all have RHD MS and not age related MS because above 60 years patients also included in the study. Similarly activity parameters should be rule out that showed that Pro-BNP raised not due to recurrence or activity.	We used Jones Criteria proposed by the American College of Cardiology for the diagnosis of Rheumatic Heart Disease and differentiation between Mitral Stenosis caused by RHD or Senile Degenerative Changes.  Now, mentioned in the methodology section. Thanks  Pro-BNP cannot be raised due to activity it is always raised due to volume overload caused by any pathological factor except pregnancy (in which Pro-BNP can be raised physiologically).
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None