

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78903
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Pharmaceutical and Analytical study of Chapal Shodhan (Purification process) with special reference to Bismuth and selenium.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Is this research on humans? There is no ethical clearance in this research. No complaint parameters in the human sample are given in detail. There are no data on a toxic effect that may occur with the administration of chapal. References that are used too long should use references within the last 10 years.	Respected Reviewers 1.It is not a human trail 2. There is no need for ethical clearance for the analytical study. 3. Toxic effects of Unpurified Chapal is mentioned. 4. this is the unique study which is taken for research, not done in any centre. Hence getting resent reference are not possible.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the material, it is not explained the purpose of using the juice and the effects of it.In the method, the number of samples for each group and the number of doses is not explained. The results do not explain how to compare bismuth and selenium with statistical calculations. The results of the analysis of the content of substances found are explained in mordetail. The discussion did not explain how to compare the bismuth and selenium content ofchapal.	1, effect of juice and effects will be added 2. It is not a clinical trail 3. comparison is only regarding organoleptic property is assessed. 4. Bismuth and selenium are compared on the basis of in process changes.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	