

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_78820 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT GENRES OF MUSIC ON BLOOD PRESSURE AMONG DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Divergence between title and objective related to age groups, I suggest that you remove it from the title or include it in the objective.-Material and method- The inclusion criterion reported was sample between 10 and 80 years old but this article just mention adolescents. I suggest to unify the criterion- Need further explanation about the questionnaire, example: Was there blood pressure checked before and after the music? Wich kind of hardware (Digital ? Manual ?) Vendor ? How was the method of pressure checking ? just berofe, during and just after the music ?- Result and discussion – Graphics with confusing explanations- Music has been reported to have an effect on the brain, how was this assessed? | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Suggestions have been taken and will implemented..2. The exclusion criteria is below 10 yrs and above 80 yrs – highlighted in the manuscript3. Blood pressure was checked before and after different genres of music by using manual sphygmomanometer method....4. The explanation for the graph is explained as legends below the graph – highlighted. Pie charts have been done as per the questionnaire and barcharts have been done for the correlation or association between the study and the gender. |
| Minor REVISION comments | The conclusion was that Fast musics ad dangerous and innefective. Witch variables bring you guys to this conclusion. That was not clear for me. | We came to a conclusion that fast music is ineffective when compared to slow beat music by the response which we got from out 110 sample size. |
| Optional/General comments | Due the items commented above like Method of blood pressure check, Questionnaire answered without supervision of authors, between other, I understand that there is kind of bias in the article | There is no bias in this study as this study is carried out with the supervision of all the authors equally..... |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |