

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78741
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing medical students' choice regarding anesthesia as a future career at King Abdulaziz University Hospital Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalprijournal.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Title: to replace the word anaesthesia with anaethioslogy since it is a specialty</p> <p>Abstract section: Replace (Place and Duration of Study) with Study setting Conclusion: It needs rephrasing sine the term change the mindset can not be used in this context</p> <p>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS Authors need to write in this section about study design and study of study which they already wrote in abstract section How the authors validate the questionnaire used in their study? 2.1 Setting and participants</p> <p>As per the authors (Data were collected from June 8th, 2019 till June 25th, 2019) this will raise a question they took about two years to write the manuscript how they can justify this?</p> <p>Results section: Authors didn’t interpret the impact of marital status and gender as confounding factor which may affect the control on life which I can see it is an important factor especially on female lifestyle</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Agreed, Corrected and Highlighted- Agreed, Corrected and Highlighted- Agreed, Corrected and Highlighted- Agreed, Corrected and Highlighted- The questionnaire that has been used in our research was obtained from a previous study, contains 16 multiple-choice questions and has been validated by using pilot test.- Many modifications have been made in this research, writing, correction, and adding co-authors wise, hence the 2 years period.- Agreed, has been added as a limitation in the discussion section
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion section needs to be more focused with clear action plan to address the gap	Agreed, has been added to the conclusion section
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	