

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78715
Title of the Manuscript:	Does problem behaviour in child results from the parent-child relationship and socioeconomic status of the family?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have gone through the research paper. I think the whole paper need to revise. Following point necessary need revision-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author (s) has to review the existing literature properly and mention the studies clearly that exhibit the relationship between these variables, whether it is positive or negative relationship.2. I am quite aware of the studies in literature that Cleary showed about the relationship between parenting style and problem behaviour. As well as the studies related to Social Economic Status and behaviour Problems in children. Author mentioned some studies that explain the relationship between parenting style and behaviour problem, for example authoritarian parenting style. But Author didn't mentioned anywhere that this parenting style promote behaviour problem in particular. Authoritarian parenting impacted externalizing behaviour problem, does mean that it is a causal factor or variable between parenting style and behaviour problem.3. If there is any mediating variable between the relationship, one has to mention clearly.4. The author not mentioned what is meant by behaviour problems? What kind of problem behaviour he/she wanted to study?5. Author did not mention validity or reliability of the scale.6. In the result section, author mentioned ANOVA. But he/she didn't know how to mention the ANOVA results. I am very surprised that how author claimed that "problem behaviour among children differ significantly with the variation in the SES of the family". However, author did not mention that statistically significant difference (if any) between all three mentioned SES status. While ANOVA is used for testing the Hypothesis for compare the means between independent variables. It should be mention clearly whether there is statistically significant relation between the independent variables, then only author can claim that problem behaviour positively related to high SES or Low SES.7. Please look at the reference section too. Go through the research articles and find out how to write/ mention the reverence. There should be uniformity while writing references.	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	