

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78261
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Sub-Clinical Hypothyroidism with abnormal levels of lipid in the population of Nawabshah, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The authors should describe in the introduction the characteristics of subclinical hypothyroidism and what problems it can cause.2. At the and of introduction, discuss what is the problem with population of Hyderabad, Pakistan that make this research relevant.3. Descriptors must be reviewed according to MeSH.4. The methodology must be better explained. The way that the groups are described is confusing, so, it makes hard to understand the results and discussion.5. At the methodology, first describe all the methods and tests that were performed, Then, describe how do you divided your groups and subgroups. Ex: Group A= teenagers; Group B – Adults; Subgroups: Ct=Control (specify the parameters); SCH1 = Describe the group and specify the parameters; SCH2 – describe the group and specify the parameters!!6. Why do you seek to present the differences between groups A and B.? It is already expected that they will be different! You can keep this information, but you need to discuss it properly.7. In you discussion, there are issues that must be reviewed. You should include a paragraph about the problems with subclinical hypothyroidism and why it is important to investigate it.8. In your study, you aimed to associate subclinical hypothyroidism whit lipid levels. Your conclusion must be according with your findings.	Comment accepted and considered
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The tables must be in a scientific design.2. When you use initials for the first time, you need to describe it fully.3. The document needs English review.	Comment accepted and considered
Optional/General comments	The theme is relevant, but it needs to be better described and discussed in your papers.	

Comment [JS1]: What is the problem with this population that make your research necessary?

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	