

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78218
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Determinants of Anaemia among Reproductive-aged Women in Ethiopia: A Nationally Representative Cross-sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Manuscript is more than 3000 words. Introduction is too lengthy(&gt; 500 words)</li> <li>2. In the abstract and conclusion mentioned as "respective bodies". Clarity needed.</li> <li>3. All the references are not presented in single style.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I think it is not more than the required one.</li> <li>2. It means concerned bodies. I replaced it by concerned bodies.</li> <li>3. I corrected it(highlighted it under Reference)</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The data used for this study was publicly available secondary data. For this reason, no ethical issues was neccessatry. (Ethical approval was not necessary as this study used the 2016 EDHS publicly available secondary data which is available on the DHS website ( <a href="http://dhsprogram.com">http:// dhsprogram.com</a> )).