

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77978
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF THE HYPOTENSION IN LATERAL VERSES SITTING POSITIONS DURING INDUCTION OF SPINAL ANAESTHESIA FOR CAESAREAN SECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract:</b> well structured and written. <b>Introduction:</b> -The authors should Include anesthetic hypotension and pregnancy as a problem and its impact as a research problem. - The authors should include as an introduction the pharmacology of the anesthetic in pregnancy. - The authors should include statistical data of frequencies and rates of anesthetic hypotension in pregnant patients <b>Material and methods:</b> well structured and written. <b>Discussion:</b> - The authors should relate the pharmacological (pharmacodynamic) and pathophysiological mechanisms that explain the findings. - The authors should relate the physiology of pregnancy with the findings. - The authors should name the confounding factors. - The authors should mention study limitations. <b>Conclusions:</b> Expand the conclusions, since it is scarce.	Checked
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Review table 2; I consider it can be omitted, since the significance data can be placed in the text.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The article deals with a topic that has publication interest and is competent to publish.	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	