

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77966
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Aspergillus sp in the production of protease enzyme with different substrates.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- - Explanation and interpretation of results is not found, please added explanation to clearer. 2- References used in the article relatively old. Where are the similar studies carried in the last 5 years.	Revised
Minor REVISION comments	1- The title of the article is appropriate and is relevant to the content of the article. 2- The abstract is clear and focused. 3- The presentation in the article is very clear. 4- The article was written in a simple, and the language was easy to read, and was devoid of grammar errors. 5- There are no errors in the spelling.	Ok
Optional/General comments	1- The researcher did not mention the nitrogen sources that effect the production of Protease. 2- In complete way of methods. 3- Article needs to be rewritten.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>