

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77811
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-cancer effects of Saraca Asoca flower extract on prostate cancer cell line
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Saraca asoca plant name should be always in italics.Abstract can be minimized which should be short and clear.In Introduction part, there should be own sentence formation and not to be copied from web source.In Methods and Materials the Reagents subheading can be removed.Also the name of parameter for analysis to be mentioned and clearly explainedMug – What is mug or its should be as mg.No hyperlink should be mentionedEthanollic extract of Saraca asoca plant should be clearly mentioned in uniform manner.Preparation of the Herbal Extract – very major mistakes and sentences – it must be correctedDiscussion must be interpreted well and Conclusion must be elaborate	<p>Thank you very much for your valuable comments. We have changed the plant name in italics in throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>As per reviewer suggestions, we have minimized the abstract part in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>We have corrected the introduction part according to the reviewer suggestions.</p> <p>The reagents subheading removed in the Materials and Methods section. Each parameter in this study clearly explained in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>We have corrected the typographical errors “mug in to µg” We have removed the hyperlink in the manuscript. We have updated the ethanolic extract of Saraca asoca plant in uniform manner in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>Apologies for mistakes. We have removed irrelevant sentences methods section. As per reviewer suggestion, we have revised the discussion and conclusion part.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The arrangement of sentences in uniform and orderly framed.The word hours (h) should be mentioned as hrs. IC-50 should be mentioned as IC⁵⁰More spelling mistakes, eg: rotAbbreviations must be explained	<p>We have modified the sentences in uniform and order The word “hours changed into hrs” and IC-50 changes into IC⁵⁰</p> <p>We have corrected the typographical errors in the revised manuscript. All abbreviations explained in the revised manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Alignment should be followed clearly.	<p>We have changed the alignment throughout the manuscript</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	No