

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77811
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-cancer effects of Saraca Asoca flower extract on prostate cancer cell line
Type of the Article	Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments Revise and Resubmit	Authors attempt to find cancer potential of Saraca Asoca flower extract is commendable. The manuscript can be accepted with the minor revision including typographical check.	Thank you very much for your comments.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>IC-50 should be written IC<sub>50</sub>.</li><li>Typographical errors such as 37°C or CO2, should be corrected.</li><li>In the introduction section the key phytoconstituents present in Saraca Asoca that are responsible for various pharmacological activities could be presented. The reported chemical structures of the phytoconstituents present in Saraca Asoca specially reported for the cancer if any should be provided.</li><li>In discussion section, too many references are appearing related to morphology-related apoptosis it should be reduced.</li><li>Conclusion portion must highlight the key results.</li></ul>	<p>The word IC-50 changes into IC<sup>50</sup></p> <p>We have corrected the typographical errors '37°C or CO2 in to 37°C and CO<sub>2</sub>'</p> <p>Currently, there is no report available on the phytoconstituents of Saraca Asoca plant extract. Further studies warrented to isolate and chareterize the active compound from this plant.</p> <p>As per reviewer suggestions, we have removed the irrelevant references in the discussion part.</p> <p>We have changed the conclusion part.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Abstract is too long. Short and concise information must be provided in abstract section.	We have revised the abstract in the revised manuscript.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	