



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77774
Title of the Manuscript:	Granisetron versus Ondansetron for Prevention of Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV) in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
Type of Article :	Original research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The conclusion in the Abstract is still confusing. There is no reason to list p value in the abstract, better to say "no significant statistical difference". Also, there is no difference between "efficacy" or "regarding complications". Which one do the result show? The conclusion should be re-written completely! 2. In all the figures, why use Group 1 and 2, instead of Group G and O? There is NO statistical analysis between these 2 groups. A footnote should be attached. How can readers know what is the significance between these 2 groups! 3. Table has wrong punctuations everywhere! 	<p>Rephrase the conclusion</p> <p>Suggestions incorporated</p> <p>In this manuscript just one table is included with the punctuation :</p>