

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77737
Title of the Manuscript:	The Frequency of Prescribing Nicotine and Varenicline in a Public Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>(1) In order to evaluate rates of nicotine products and varenicline it is compulsory to provide <u>the total number of smokers / prescriptions</u> and the number of smokers having received smoking cessation prescriptions.</p> <p>(2) The hospital needs to be better described: did only the department of internal medicine participate or were there other departments involved?</p> <p>(3) It is unclear if patients could receive both varenicline and nicotine products?</p> <p>(4) Nicotine replacement doesn’t produce any severe or alarming side effects, this needs to be mentioned in the paragraph describing nicotine replacement. The only precautions concern pregnant women and very recent and acute heart pathology.</p> <p>(5) This means that nicotine replacement can be prescribed to all smokers independently of severity of nicotine dependence, if judged useful. Naturally, they do need to be correctly adapted to levels of cigarette consumption and cravings. The sentence just after reference 24 needs to be corrected.</p>	<p>Okay</p> <p>Made revision</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>(6) English language is not fluent</p>	<p>okay</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	