

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77706
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Assessment of Stress Bone Fractures Among Soldiers in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	We know The most common sites for stress fractures in the foot are the metatarsal bones. The author will discuss the most common part is occurrence are the tibia	Noted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	I think it is better in the discussion of up-to-date and more content that the reader gets a good result from this article,	Noted
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Unfortunately this issue is not observed and only a series of numbers are mentioned that the reader does not get enough results. In the discussion section, the author must provide a solution by presenting the result of his study.	Noted

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	