

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_77562 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Tinospora Cordifolia: A literature Review on therapeutic use and pharmacological action |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>The grammar is very poor, so much so that the information being conveyed is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Many abbreviations have been used eg. No. In place of number.</p> <p>There is reiteration in the text.</p> | <p>Thanks for the comments I tried to improve the grammar</p> <p>abbreviations have been removed</p> <p>reiteration removed</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <p>The text should be rearranged so that there is no repetition and the information is conveyed in a lucid manner.</p> | <p>Done</p> |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | <p>Thanks for your valuable response</p> |