

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_77562 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Tinospora Cordifoli: A literature Review on therapeutic use and pharmacological action |
| Type of the Article | Review |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Morphology has been concluded with significance of the plant in ayurveda, that can be included under separate heading as significance in ayurveda2. Plant profile and morphology can be added with some pictorial representation with citation3. Scientific name of the plant is mentioned as Tinospora Cordifolia & T. Cordifolia, can be made uniform4. First abbreviated word should have complete word and abbreviated in the next sequence (Eg.: Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs))5. Author <i>et al.</i>, should be in italics in pharmacological activities6. Other beneficial effects mentioned should also be cited for justification7. Remove <i>et al.</i>, in references section as all authors should be mentioned in that section8. References (Year) are not as per the format, to follow uniform format for references. Check with numbering for the references.9. Alignment of the sections should be done completely | Thanks for your valuable suggestions and separate heading as significance of the plant in ayurveda has been included in the revised manuscript Plant profile has been added Added as Tinospora Cordifolia As suggested by you abbreviations has been added Added beneficial effects mentioned for justification references has been updated Alignment has dene |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Check with the scientific name of the plant should be in italics.2. Spacing between the words | scientific name of the plant has been corrected |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Pharmacological activities can be explained citing with the researcher name and the concept published | <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Pharmacological activities can be explained citing with the researcher name has done in most of the parts |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | Thanks for your valuable suggestions |