



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77553
Title of the Manuscript:	Free-Radical Scavenging Assay and Quantitative Estimation of Flavonoids from Corchorus depressus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors, congratulations on the study. I will be giving some suggestions to further improve the study.</p> <p>Abstract Correct: Keywords</p> <p>Introduction - aim chemical constituents (Qualitative &amp; Quantitative), but in the introduction was only quantitative.</p> <p>Methods - Reference of Folin-Ciocalteu's Reagent (FCR) method - "...the different kinds of literature as Wager, 1984; Odebiyi, 1978; Trease and Evans, 1987..."</p> <p>Results and Discussion Figures: Necessary is to explain the acronym below each graph. I think stranger results and discussion together. Check recommendations of the journal.</p>	<p>Thank you very much for the valuable suggestions.</p> <p>The keywords have been corrected in the Abstract, as per your comment.</p> <p>Both qualitative phytochemical analysis and the quantitative estimation of flavonoid along with total phenolic content were carried out using UV-Visible spectrophotometer in the present research.</p> <p>The references have been cited at the appropriate places in the manuscript as per the Journal guidelines.</p> <p>Below each graph necessary acronym has been explained, results with discussions are outlined as per recommendations of the journal.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I liked the article, nice write.	Thanks for your comment
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues involved in the present research. Hence it is not applicable for the present article.