

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77459
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Awareness of the Need to Call the Emergency Medical Services Following the Onset of Acute Myocardial Infarction and Associated Factors in Jazan region, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This article has been written only focusing on the awareness of EMS from the public. The author has analyzed their questionnaires according to the needy of EMS. From my point of view, EMS has been viewed only at their physical complications when they are affected by myocardial infractions. I suggest that the author explore to give awareness about myocardial infractions. According to that in which kind of food items has to be taken, if it will not consume what is the consequence which will affect us and also if the author could explain and explore about the symptoms of myocardial infractions means it could be better. I simply insist the authors give not only awareness about all the issues also for doing the First-aid before calling EMS according to the myocardial infractions. If author improves the given comments, it will be more validate to this article.</p>	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of our manuscript. We have reviewed the reviewers' comments and have thoroughly revised the manuscript. We found the comments helpful and believe my revised manuscript represents a significant improvement over the initial submission. In response to the suggestions, I made the following changes to address your valuable comments.</p> <p><u>Response reviewer</u></p> <p>Dear reviewer,</p> <p>Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. I have reviewed your comments and have thoroughly revised the manuscript. I found the comments helpful and believe my revised manuscript represents a significant improvement over the initial submission. In response to the comments, I made the following changes to address your valuable comments.</p> <p>Article Comments</p> <p>" This article has been written only focusing on the awareness of EMS from the public. The author has analyzed their questionnaires according to the needy of EMS. From my point of view, EMS has been viewed only at their physical complications when they are affected by myocardial infractions. I suggest that the author explore to give awareness about myocardial infractions. According to that in which kind of food items has to be taken, if it will not consume what is the consequence which will affect us and also if the author could explain and explore about the symptoms of myocardial infractions means it could be better. I simply insist the authors give not only awareness about all the issues also for doing the First-aid before calling EMS according to the myocardial infractions. If author improves the given comments, it will be more validate to this article . "</p>

Review Form 1.6

		<p>We added more details about the kind of food items has to be taken, if it will not consume what is the consequence which will affect us. Also, we added details regarding to explaining and exploring the symptoms of myocardial infractions and doing the First-aid before calling EMS according to the myocardial infractions to the article as requested.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Faisal Ali M. Othathi</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	