

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77371
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF FATIGABILITY IN UNDERGRADUATE DENTAL STUDENTS: A SURVEY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a well thought through study worthy of publication. Although it reveals what is already known, it now enumerates the degree of fatigue and stress. The author is to be congratulated.	Thank you for your valuable comments
Minor REVISION comments	Page 12, EXHAUSTION check spelling of title of chart The use of the word fatigability is an Indianism. The word fatigue is normal English. These are not faults, just features.	Thank you for your valuable comments. Corrections done
Optional/General comments	The fundamental problem with intense learning in late teens and twenties is that it goes against natural hormonal inclinations. This is the age at which Homo Sapiens expects to mate and breed. Modern technology demands educated operators and forces youngsters into an abnormal situation. It is this diversion from natural which causes stress and thereby fatigue. That this is the case is seen when the ideal student is unsociable and what used to be called a book worm. Thankfully, the typical student is going against the grain during studies and hopefully will emerge unharmed and become a normal adult able to empathize with patients.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	