

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77179
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation Development and Characterization of Darunavir and Ritonavir Sustained Release Tablets using Quality by Design Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please remove all the “<b>ANOVA for selected factorial model</b>” reports from main manuscript and place it as a appendix.</li><li>2. Dose calculation section also place to appendix.</li><li>3. All the materials supplier details and instrument details must write as company/brand/model name, city, zipcode, state, country.</li><li>4. After materials and methods, authors must include results and discussion. Please reorganize the parameters and sections properly. Remove all the ANOVA reports from main manuscript and just keep graph.</li><li>5. Then discuss the results with references and compaire with others findings.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Done</li><li>2. Done</li><li>3. We can not provide the same as we had received gift samples from different pharmaceutical companies.</li><li>4. Done</li><li>5. We have not compared our product with any of marketed product. This SR formulation has been prepared and compared with theoretical data and same has been presented.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	