

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_77048 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CASE REPORT POST OPERATIVE CASE OF EXPLORE LAPAROTOMY FOR RUPTURED ECTOPIC PREGNANCY WITH SEPTICMIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | It is a case report, needs to be written with proper sequence of events, followed by relevant investigations, diagnosis and treatment. The conclusions mentions that it fulfils Studdiford's process (actually it should be Criteria); but case is written so haphazardly, one cannot make out anything. We are unable to appreciate when and how the diagnosis was made. Why septicaemia is mentioned. There are so many grammatical mistakes, like the gender of the patient mentioned as male. Irrelevant things like, abnormalities in foetus, we are not bothered about its survival, if ectopic pregnancy is suspected. | Dear Reviewer, Thank you for review my paper, I did corrections as per your suggestions. |
| Minor REVISION comments | Why discussion is written twice ? | |
| Optional/General comments | What is meant by Abdominal tests ? What is role of Medical Interview (probably Intervention) | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |