

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76974
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-United Operated Infected Case of Supracondylar Femur Fracture Right Side with Implant in Situ: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) In the introduction part, people are mentioned with severe osteoporosis. However, the levels of osteoporosis in old people are never mentioned. Although at the work there is a sentence, "The majority of these fractures are aggravated by poor bone quality (severe osteoporosis)". It would be important to describe more how fractures are associated with the severe osteoporosis.	Noted and corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	(2) In the Therapeutic intervention part 3, there is a sentence, "The primary goal in first phase was educating the patient and preventing secondary complications and maintaining the strength of the lower limb muscles". What would be the secondary complications?.	Noted and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	(3). In the Discussion part, it is wrote, "The best way to treat and recover from surgery is still unknown". Are there documents such as manuals, procedures, recommended practices, books, standards, etc, where doctors can consult them?.	Noted and corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	