

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76884
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Dorsomorphin Homolog 1 (DMH1) against Diabetic Dyslipidemia in Streptozotocin-induced Diabetic Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The introduction part is poor in references</li><li>2. In the results section: you must present the parameters separately, that is to say a histogram for each parameter.</li><li>3. Do you have to explain the cause of death of the four rats in the treated group?</li><li>4. A table must be added containing the results obtained and the meaning</li></ol>	<p>First, we would like to express our sincere gratitude for the important and very helpful comments to improve the quality of the manuscript. Here are the changes we made in correspondence to numbers of your kind comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The introduction part was supported with more references along with more clarifying words and sentences. (page 2 &amp; 3)</li><li>2. Individual bar graphs for every parameter were added, and designs were unified for all graphs. (page 7 &amp; 8 &amp; 9)</li><li>3. The 2nd paragraph in the discussion section + the last two lines in the following (3rd) paragraph were added as the proposed cause of death. (page 9 &amp; 10)</li><li>4. A table containing mean <math>\pm</math> SD was added for further clarification. (page 6)</li></ol> <p>We hope our response would be able to satisfy your concerns. If there are any further concerns or questions, we would be happy to answer them.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	