

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76882
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-cov-2 antibodies in covid-19 patients in Hyderabad, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Write more details about the randomization method, to clarify more... For example, to understand if you have balanced groups;- To allow for the reproducibility of the study, it is important to mention the product/country brands in the methodology of each of the mentioned tests;- It was unclear which serological test was used to identify SARS-CoV-2 infection and whether information from the medical record was retrieved and what it was used for. For example, if PCR was performed or data retrieved from medical records;- Methodology is an extremely important part of the work to ensure quality and reproducibility. It is necessary to improve, reorder according to a chronological order of the facts to better clarify the work performed;- Improve topic presentation. I suggest reorganizing and including all collected data in the topic and participant study design.- What is the sampling methodology used to consider this sample number, it is representative for the whole country?- To check the presentation of groups by age group.- In the methodology, many variables were presented, which were not evaluated in the results. Making the results very simple.- Approval by the research ethics committee was not mentioned.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. this question and 2nd parts Q4 of reviewer 3 is same. Write the appropriate answer and add in manuscript if necessary.2. The methodology of each test is mentioned in the manuscript.3. The methodology of each test is mentioned in the manuscript.4. All the samples are analyzed by ELISA and the procedure is included in the methodology.5. Methodology is revised as instructed.6. The topic presentation including all the data is revised as per recommendation.7. write the answer if it is necessary add in methodology.8. The group presentation is revised9. The variables are not analyzed eliminated from the methodology.10. The study is approved by the ethical committee of Isra University which is also provided in the section of ethical approval and consent to participate.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- To check the template provided by the journal;- I suggest including percentages in Figure 1.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. checked and corrected2. The percentage is added in the figure 2.
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is not any ethical issues in this manuscript and the study is approved by the ethical committee of Isra University which is also provided in the section of ethical approval and consent to participate.