

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76876
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSERVATIVE REHABILITATION OF PARTIAL ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT TEAR FOR BETTER FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME- A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. The format of the whole article needs to be further adjusted to achieve uniformity, keeping the font size and line spacing constant.</div> <div>2. Authors are responsible for preparing their papers in correct English language.</div> <div>Title In the title should be more officially and informative. Please revises the word of “Better Functional” and shows the main outcome of intervention.</div> <div>Abstract<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please gives an information in purpose of this research, study design, duration of this study and some details of conservative rehabilitation.- In result parts please showed the percentage and statistically significant value for support your result.</div> <div>Introduction<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Almost of introduction part is about disease, please kindly add more details in the effect of human body in physical and mental health. And also gives some details in physical examination or measurements.- Please add in objective of the study. What’s main outcome?- Please clearly defines in the intervention program and how difference in the previous intervention.- Please defines the conservative program and some theory for support.</div> <div>Materials & methods<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please mention on short term goal and long-term goal of intervention.- Please clarify the intervention in each time that you gave the intervention in detail as below.<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Rehabilitation program- Exercise- Duration in each activity- Frequency of the treatment- Who is the therapist or multidisciplinary?- Orthotics?- Hot/cold Pack?- Home program?</div> <div>Section of tools<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please explain how you measure the outcome and measurement tools.</div> <div>Result<ul style="list-style-type: none">- When you compare between pre and post intervention with ROM and Strength, you should merge the data in same table and gives some statistics analysis that compare between group.- Please show all the physical examinations that you got, not only ROM and strength.- As you mention that he is the engineering. What’s type of the occupation. Can he still do that job during treatment?</div>	<div>Revision amended</div> <div>Correction made</div> <div>Done</div> <div>Done</div> <div>Done</div>

Review Form 1.6

	Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please gives more details; how difference outcome compares to previous treatment. How is the strong and weak point in this intervention?- Does he need some home program or not? Please mention if you gave some information to the patient.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- In methodology, please give the detail of statistical analysis. And how you analyse the data.- Please recheck the consistency in every parts.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- This is the one of interest treatment, if you can add more the details in your intervention. It can be useful for many therapists in worldwide.- Please add more references for support this research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>