

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76813
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LOW VERSUS STANDARD INTRAPERITONEAL PRESSURES IN GYNAECOLOGICAL LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The following sentence in the second paragraph in Introduction of the main article requires restructuring. It is incomplete in its current format. “Furthermore, given the differences in patient positioning (Trendelenburg vs Fowler) and the nature of the surgeries in gynecologic laparoscopy”	Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The methodology does not explain the nature of the Visual analog scale and the rating points used.	Noted and effctced
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	