

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76638
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of intradialytic stretching exercises on muscle cramps among patients undergoing haemodialysis in a selected tertiary care hospital Kancheepuram district, Tamil Nadu, India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What is the difference between this study and previous studies?2. The authors may try to analysis the difference of level of muscle cramps between group 1 and group2.3. Data in the abstract was not reported in the article, including tables and figures (the first and second points in the result).4. Why “non-pharmacological therapy forms the keystone of the management of muscle cramps”? Is non-pharmacological therapy better than pharmacological therapy?5. Please add the study period in the “MATERIAL AND METHODS”. I can not find out the intervention period in the article.6. Please provide more information about the intradialytic stretching exercises, such as the type, frequency, duration, and so on.7. Please provide more information of control group. Did they do any exercise during study period?8. Why not include patients younger than 30 years old or older than 60 years old?9. Were all participants with chronic haemodialysis (longer than 3 months)?10. The authors did not report the sensitivity and specificity of the cramp questionnaire.11. Please mention the definition of post test-3, 3rd post test in the “MATERIAL AND METHODS”.	<p>Noted</p> <p>All the suggested correction have been done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Did comorbid illness in this study include disease other than systemic hypertension and diabetes?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please correct the upper cases and lower cases in the sentence. Some words in the middle of sentences, but they are upper cases, such as “...back pain, Headache, ...” “...yet Cramps have...” and so on.	<p>Done</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	Yes, I can't find out any information about the interest issues.	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	The article is a little too similar as the master thesis as following: EFFECTIVENESS OF INTRADIALYTIC STRETCHING EXERCISES ON REDUCTION OF MUSCLE CRAMPS AMONG PATIENTS UNDERGOING HAEMODIALYSIS AT SUNDARAM HOSPITAL, TRICHY. The proof is attached.	